## Exhibit 9

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON		
3	PORTLAND DIVISION		
4			
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )		
6	Plaintiff, ) No. 3:23-mj-00023-1		
7	vs. ) February 3, 2023		
8	KOBE SMITH, ) Portland, Oregon		
9	Defendant. )		
10			
11			
12			
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
14	(Initial Appearance Pursuant to Rule 5(c)(3))		
15			
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STACIE F. BECKERMAN		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	Court Reporter: Ryan White, RMR, CRR, CSR/CCR United States District Courthouse		
24	1000 SW 3rd Avenue, Room 301 Portland, Oregon 97204		
25	(503) 326-8184		

1		APPEARANCES
2		
3	For the Plaintiff:	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE By: PARAKRAM SINGH (by video)
4		parakram.singh@usdoj.gov 1000 SW 3rd Avenue, Suite 600
5		Portland, Oregon 97204 (503) 727-1073
6		
7	For the Defendant:	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE By: ROBERT B. HAMILTON (by video) robert hamilton@fd.org
9		101 SW Main Street, Suite 1700 Portland, Oregon 97204
10		(503) 326-2123
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (February 3, 2023; 2:23 p.m.) 2 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 5 Mr. Smith, can you hear me okay? THE COURT: THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 Mr. Singh? 9 MR. SINGH: This is United States of America versus Kobe Smith, 3:23-mj-00023. Mr. Smith is appearing by video 10 11 teleconference with attorney Mr. Robert Hamilton. We are here for a Rule 5 appearance, Judge. This is Mr. Smith's first 12 appearance in court on an indictment from the District of 13 Massachusetts. 14 15 THE COURT: Thank you. One moment. Mr. Hamilton? 16 17 MR. HAMILTON: Thanks, Your Honor. Good afternoon. 18 I had a chance to meet with Mr. Smith in late morning, and he does not have funds to retain counsel, so I'd ask to be 19 20 appointed. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 Mr. Smith, I want to review your rights with you at 23 this time. 24 First, you have the right to appear in person in the 25 courtroom. We're conducting court by video because of COVID.

need to confirm on the record that you are willing to waive your right to appear in person and that you consent to appear by video today.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

You also have the right to be represented by a lawyer. You can hire a lawyer at your own cost, but if you cannot afford to pay a lawyer right now, I'm going to appoint Mr. Hamilton to represent you for any proceedings here in our district.

Is that plan okay with you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that is.

THE COURT: I'll make a finding that Mr. Smith qualifies for court-appointed counsel. I will enter an order appointing Mr. Hamilton to serve as his counsel.

Mr. Hamilton, has Mr. Smith had a chance to review a copy of the indictment in this case?

MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, we did. We reviewed the indictment, he read through it, and at this time he'd waive any formal reading and enter a not guilty plea. And given he's indicted, he also doesn't have a right to a preliminary hearing, but I have forwarded the Rule 5 waiver on to the Court. He's waiving an identity hearing and he is requesting a detention hearing.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Smith, you do have the right to review the

indictment that was issued by the District of Massachusetts. I understand that Mr. Hamilton has reviewed that with you.

You also have the right to remain silent. You're not required to make any statements here in court today about these allegations. At no time are you required to speak to the government about this case. And if you make statements to anyone other than your counsel, your statements can be used as evidence against you.

You have the right to an identity hearing. At an identity hearing, the government would have the burden to show probable cause by adequate proof that you are in fact the same Kobe Smith as the Kobe Smith named in the indictment. I understand that you're waiving your right to an identity hearing. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Is your name in fact Kobe Smith?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Okay. I have received a waiver form that your counsel has represented you signed. It appears to have your signature on it and today's date.

Did you sign the waiver form?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Okay. I will accept the waiver. I will make a finding that the waiver of your right to an identity hearing and production of the warrant is knowing and voluntary.

Let's see. Mr. Singh, is the government seeking detention of Mr. Smith pending further proceedings in the District of Massachusetts?

MR. SINGH: Yes, Your Honor, the government is seeking the detention of Mr. Smith. We're seeking the detention of Mr. Smith on both prongs.

Mr. Smith is alleged to be the primary conspirator in this case. He's believed to be the individual who coordinated the purchase of at least 24 firearms, coordinated the sale and then the transportation of those firearms into Massachusetts from Alabama.

All in all, this conduct took place after he had recently been arrested on a firearms charge in Massachusetts.

He was on bail on that charge when he began and participated in this conspiracy.

Mr. Smith has since not resolved his open charge in Massachusetts state court. We believe there's a failure to appear on that matter.

Mr. Smith is here in the District of Oregon, a place where he has limited community ties. He has, in the government's view, been evading arraignment on his indictment. We ask that the Court detain Mr. Smith as a danger and a flight risk.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh, I don't see any criminal history on the bail report. Am I missing a page?

MR. SINGH: Judge, I have not -- I do not -- I do not have a criminal history document, but I do have a docket from the Massachusetts court that was forwarded to me that shows an open charge against Mr. Smith. I can forward that to the Court and Mr. Hamilton right now.

THE COURT: Let me ask who's here from pretrial services?

MS. SONG: Chris Song with pretrial, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Song, did any criminal history come up when you ran the report?

MS. SONG: I didn't do this report, but let me check on that. When we ran the local records here through NCIC, we didn't see anything, so let me just double check that.

MR. SINGH: And Judge, it should show as an open or a pending matter, not a matter with conviction. He was out on bail on that matter until he failed to appear in court.

THE COURT: Okay. What's the date of the arrest and what's the date of the failure to appear?

MR. SINGH: I'm looking that up, Judge.

He was arrested May 29th, 2020. He was arrested with a Glock 22 in his possession. The Boston police have since connected that firearm that was in his possession to seven separate shooting incidents in Boston between July 2019 to May 3, 2020.

THE COURT: Do you have any information about the

delay in indicting this case? It seems odd that this level of conduct took place and it took almost two years to return an indictment.

MR. SINGH: Judge, the -- oh, regarding the conspiracy charge? I do not have the information as to why the conspiracy case was not yet indicted even though Mr. Smith was charged in Dorchester with the unlawful possession of that specific firearm.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SINGH: That firearm -- and that's the case that he was supposed to be out on bail on, Judge.

THE COURT: And why do you represent him as being the -- sort of the lead actor in this conspiracy? From the indictment, that's not apparent.

MR. SINGH: And Judge, my information comes by phone call with the prosecutor on this case. That's how I received these facts.

The prosecutor informs me that Mr. Smith was the individual who knew the conspirators in Alabama. He was the person who had the contacts with the individuals in Alabama. He was the one who could arrange for them to procure firearms and then provide them to others who traveled from Massachusetts down to Alabama to bring them back, Mr. Pringle being one of those.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Let me represent, in the interest of timing, I will

maybe short-circuit this a bit. I'm prepared to release Mr. Smith. I'll let Mr. Hamilton make his record.

But Mr. Singh, I have a hunch that you will appeal my release order to the District of Massachusetts. Is that correct?

MR. SINGH: That is the government's intent, Judge, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hamilton, would you like to make a record in the event that the district judge in the District of Massachusetts looks back at the transcript?

MR. HAMILTON: Yes, please. Very much so.

Your Honor, I'd just like to note Mr. Smith is
23 years old. He lives with his girlfriend, Ms. Morris, who
pretrial spoke with, and his one-year-old son. He's had a job
at Auto Zone for the last six months. Before that, when he was
in Massachusetts, he was employed for a year and a half at a
Mediterranean restaurant.

And I would note that -- you know, I just dispute this notion that Mr. Smith is on the run hiding. He is working.

There's no evidence he's, like, working under an assumed identity. He is living and working under his identity. And so he fully intends to comply with any of the Court's release orders. It's really important to him that he continue to work and support his son.

And in terms of the charges, the Court's already noted

the age of the case. The conduct is between January 2020 and May of 2021. It's not indicted until July of 2022.

I had the same impression as the Court. Mr. Singh's representations surprised me a little bit, what's coming from Massachusetts.

When I read the indictment, Mr. Smith appears to be a minimal player. I read through the overt acts. He's only charged in count 1, which is the class D felony, it's a five-year max, and that's the only charge he's facing.

When I read through the overt acts, three of them involve him -- Mr. Moore -- sending Mr. Smith a text. And the very last one on page 7 is around April 24th, 2021. Smith sent Moore a message saying "Call my number," and -- and then provided a number.

You'll see other overt acts of other people

placing -- supposedly placing orders, other people transporting

the firearms and picking them up. And so I don't see -- well,

now, whether he originally knew the person down in Alabama, I

don't know, I don't have the discovery, but that's different

than sort of painting him as the ringleader of some firearm

acquisition conspiracy.

I would also note, in July of 2022, if you look at the district court docket from Massachusetts in ECF, on ECF 13, it's on July 20th, 2022, the number one defendant in the case, Brandon Moore, who is providing, whether he's purchasing or

getting them elsewhere in Alabama, he appears in the District of Alabama, he gets released, and the District of Massachusetts adopts the release conditions of the Alabama court and Mr. Moore appears by video for that hearing in Massachusetts.

And so I would note that I don't -- like you, I don't have any indication of criminal history. If there's a pending case, he needs to -- he understands he has to resolve this pending case that sounds like it arose during COVID and there's -- if there's something open and pending, that he's got to deal with that case and he understands that.

But I think he has a stable residence, he has stable employment, and he is ready to appear for the Massachusetts case, and so I'd ask that he be released on the conditions suggested by pretrial.

THE COURT: Mr. Hamilton, did you indicate -- did

Mr. Moore -- Mr. Moore was released at a Rule 5 appearance in

Alabama. And then did you say he was allowed to appear by video
in the District of Massachusetts?

MR. HAMILTON: Right. That's what I see on ECF 13.

It's a video appearance.

Again, I don't know whether they're still doing that or not, but I was going to raise that and can raise it with Mr. Benson and Mr. Singh and the Massachusetts prosecutors.

My hope is, for cost purposes, rather than flying out there for a single appearance, that they're allowed to appear by

video.

2.1

THE COURT: Mr. Hamilton, I know you have had concerns in the past, as has the Court, on the time it takes to transport somebody in custody from the west coast to the east coast especially. Do you have any sense lately, any recent news of how long those transports have been taking?

MR. HAMILTON: I haven't had a Rule 5 for a while.

You know, I advise to expect three to four weeks at a minimum for them to get on the transport and get going. But I don't have a definite answer. Mr. Singh or the marshals may know more. But I know it takes a while.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Singh, anything further just for the record?

MR. SINGH: No, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SONG: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Song.

MS. SONG: Hi. This is Chris with pretrial.

I just checked the documents and it looks like we did miss the warrant on Mr. Smith and did not put it in the report. But it looks like there is a warrant that was issued August 29, 2022, out of Dorchester District, Boston PD, for failure to appear after recog, and the complaint date on that case is June 1st of 2020. My apologies.

THE COURT: Ms. Song, is that an extraditable warrant,

to your knowledge?

MS. SONG: I'm unable to tell that from looking at this printout, but the charges are four counts of firearms charges: Firearm without a license, ammunition without an FID card, firearm possession of a large capacity, and firearm carry without a license.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Singh, did you indicate that those charges are related to the charges in this case?

MR. SINGH: Judge, only to the extent that he had already been arrested on that matter, was on bail, and while on bail and on release in that matter is when the conduct in this case is alleged to have occurred.

THE COURT: Okay. I am prepared to release Mr. Smith based in part on the pretrial services officer's recommendation that he is releasable, and that is in part -- I certainly understand the release rates of the District of Oregon may be different than the release rates than in the District of Massachusetts, and that's in part, I will note, in my opinion, because our pretrial services office is so well equipped to supervise people in our district and in our community.

And so I'm confident that they could supervise

Mr. Smith. I'm confident that if he was released, he would

appear either in person or by video in the District of

Massachusetts. Perhaps while he's there, he can resolve the

warrant out of Dorchester.

But let me also note, if his co-defendant was released in Alabama and allowed to appear by video, Mr. Kobe -- I'm sorry -- Mr. Smith could not have any more criminal history than Mr. Moore because in fact he has no convictions on his record. He has a stable home, he has a young child, and stable employment. So for those factors, I would release him.

I understand it is not my final decision, so I will do that, but I will stay my release opinion until Wednesday at noon Pacific time to allow Mr. Singh to appeal my release order to the district judge in the District of Massachusetts and we will leave it up to that judge whether to transport Mr. Smith in custody, which could take weeks to reach the District of Massachusetts. If there's any chance that they would release him then, then he would have to find his own way back home to Vancouver, Washington. Alternatively, if he's allowed to appear by video here, we can move forward in that direction.

But at the end of the day, not my decision, so I'll leave that up to the assigned district judge.

Mr. Singh, anything further for today?

MR. SINGH: No, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Let me be specific. I am ordering him released and to appear in the federal court in Boston on February 16th at 1:00 p.m. Of course, counsel could ask to have that switched to a video appearance if he is ultimately

```
released, but for today's purposes, that's the only information
 1
 2
    I have.
 3
              Mr. Hamilton, anything further for today?
              MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, if Mr. Singh communicates
 4
 5
    with the District -- the US attorney in Massachusetts and they
 6
    decide not to appeal, I would just ask if he could let us know
 7
    this afternoon so that the order can be effectuated earlier.
 8
              MR. SINGH: I'm fairly confident in their decision to
 9
    appeal.
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
10
11
               If that changes or if as they're reviewing the record
12
    or the bail report before Wednesday, just let us know, but
    otherwise, we'll assume the appeal is moving forward.
13
14
              MR. SINGH:
                          Absolutely.
15
               THE COURT: Thank you.
16
               Thank you, Mr. Smith.
17
18
          (The proceedings concluded at 2:41 p.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability, of the videoconference/telephonic hearing taken by stenographic means. Due to the videoconference/telephonic connection, parties appearing via videoconference, speakerphone or cell phone, speakers overlapping when speaking, speakers not identifying themselves before they speak, fast speakers, the speaker's failure to enunciate, and/or other technical difficulties that occur during videoconference/telephonic proceedings, this certification is limited by the above-mentioned reasons and any technological difficulties of such proceedings occurring over the videoconference/speakerphone at the United States District Court of Oregon in the above-entitled cause. A transcript without an original signature, conformed signature, or digitally signed signature is not certified.

DATED this 7th day of February, 2023.

// Ryan White

RYAN WHITE
Registered Merit Reporter
Certified Realtime Reporter
Expires 9/30/2025
Oregon CSR No. 10-0419
Expires 12/31/2023